

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vingina 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/925,836	08/07/2001	William F. Scholz	46991/GTL/A23	5259
75	90 08/14/2003			
GRANT T. LANGTON, ESQ. JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP 1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS			EXAMINER	
			AHMAD, NASSER	
7TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90067-4308			110 23(7(0))321210
		•	1772	16
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		me I
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/925,836	SCHOLZ ET AL.
navious y nousin	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nasser Ahmad	1772
The MAILING DATE f this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	c rrespondence address -
THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply to a the places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailib The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oftimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		•
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed: 38-42.		
Claim(s) objected to: 44,45 and 49.		
Claim(s) rejected: 43,46-48 and 50-56.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:		
		Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's acknowledgement that Samonides' adhesive is "very close to its final form" is precisely what the Examiner has been trying to relay to the applicant. This phrase of Samonides clearly teaches that the adhesive is in the "non-final" state as is being argued by the applicant. Further, McNaul teaches the missing element of Samonides and the combination would render the claimed invention obvious. Also, McNaul clearly teaches that the film is applied over the adhesive prior to the printing step.